

Minutes of the Meeting – Jan. 18 - 22, 2003

National Network for Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities
Westin Grand Hotel, 2350 M Street NW, Washington DC

Saturday p.m., Jan. 18, 2003: The Executive Committee and other committees met.

Sunday, Jan. 19, 2003 - New Coordinators' Orientation

Present per sign-in sheets: Forty-four individuals signed in, as follows: 21 from 19 SEAs, 8 from Governors' Offices, 2 from LEAs, 3 from 2 Comprehensive Assistance Centers, 1 from a CAPT, 1 from a state advisory board, 1 from a university, and 7 representing 5 partners. (Appendix A.)

8 a.m. start: Ian Neuhard, Chair of the Network, welcomed everyone to the orientation and led a round of self-introductions. He thanked George Watkins, President, Performance Resource Press, who sponsored the Continental Breakfast and the morning break refreshments.

The orientation was organized by Professional Development committee members Jeff Barber, (SEA, IN), and Cynthia Timmons and Kathy Robertson of the Region VII Comprehensive Assistance Center. These individuals led a discussion about the NCLB statutes and guidance.

Demonstration of the packet and contents of a CD: The Region VII CAC representatives previewed the CD that they developed for use by Network members and others. Members were very impressed and grateful for the content. Suggestions were made for further improvements.

Break sponsor: George Watkins explained that Performance Resource Press was founded in 1979 with a primary focus on Employee Assistance Programs. Since then they have expanded to include schools. They produce a student assistance magazine and an EAP Digest for human resource managers. Their long-term aim is to continue linking EAPs and SAPs together to bring a healthier workforce into a healthier workplace.

Small group report-outs: Following discussions by small groups, issues discussed included data collection, problems with the lack of standardized surveys throughout a state, not enough weighted data, Protection of Pupil Rights Act (PPRA) being a barrier for prevalence surveys, solid prevention efforts vs. model programs from "the lists", and how to implement the "ongoing parental involvement" clause.

Lunch: Lunch was spent "on your own" for further networking opportunities.

Walk-through of the Non-Regulatory Guidance/Open comment period: The bulk of the afternoon was spent examining the Title IV-A, Non-Regulatory Guidance that had been issued as drafts by the U.S. Department of Education. The discussion raised numerous questions about interpretations. State representatives shared how they would be implementing various aspects of new requirements. Suggested changes and questions were recorded.

The proposed revisions would be shared with Debbie Rudy of the US Department of Education during the next couple of days at the meeting. This would allow us to meet the deadline for comments.

Break: The afternoon break was sponsored by the Network.

Adjournment: The orientation concluded at approximately 5 p.m. with thanks again to the organizers.

Monday, Jan. 20, 2003 – Network Business Meeting

Present per sign-in sheets: Forty-eight individuals signed in, as follows: 21 from 19 SEAs, 8 from Governors' Offices, 2 from LEAs, 3 from 2 Comprehensive Assistance Centers, 1 from a state advisory board, 1 from a university, and 12 representing 7 partners. (See Appendix A.)

Continental breakfast was provided by the Network.

8:30 a.m. opening: Ian Neuhard called the meeting to order with a welcome, introductions, and opening comments.

Approval of the Minutes/Directory Revisions: The Minutes of the June '02 meeting were approved as written and disseminated by Janelle Krueger via e-mail approximately one week prior to the meeting. The membership directory was circulated for revisions. All those in attendance were requested to sign in daily.

Treasurer's Report: Penny Deavers handed out a summary of all income and expenditures for 2002. The balance on hand on December 12, 2001 was \$11,229.49. Income and deposits for the year totaled \$26,901.42. Expenses were \$27,393.88. The remaining balance on Dec. 11, 2002 was \$10,737.03. Questions were fielded regarding executive council and committee member travel expenses. A recommendation was made to separate out the income generated from registration fees from income generated by other sponsorship donations. The report was approved.

Legislative Committee Report: Julie Peterson, CHEF, reported that the committee met the previous afternoon (Sunday, Jan. 19) for about 45 minutes. She provided a written summary to the secretary that included:

- Comments on the draft non-regulatory guidance are due to senate staff by Friday, January 24, 2003.
- Sue Thau, CADCA, would like to coordinate comments to the Education Department staff and Senate staff.
- A review was done and reported on of the current status of FY03 appropriations.
- Evaluation – Research that has been collected is being analyzed and selected for conversion into “one-pagers”. The first two examples have been printed. The next one-pager will feature Columbia University's “Malignant Neglect” report. The final papers will be posted on CHEF's Web site at: www.chef.org. [Julie handed out one of them and requested feedback.]
- Cathleen Olson (LEA, MN) e-mailed requests to the Network members to produce outcomes. Information needs to be forwarded to Meena Vagnier at CADCA for use in Sue Thau's “Hill Book”.
- The committee will explore ideas and ways to get substance abuse prevention on the agendas of presidential candidates.
- Cathleen Olson, Committee Chair, asked Julie to give the committee report at the business meeting.

Legislation discussion points: Nine members have signed up for making visits to The Hill on Wednesday. Meena will lead the tour. The reauthorization for the Comprehensive Assistance Centers makes very vague references to SDFSC. Reading, math, science, and technology will be the primary focus. The Network needs to help the states that are struggling to demonstrate outcomes. Travel restrictions have hampered their involvement with us. June is too late to influence budget decisions so a Senate briefing would not be good timing. The Congress is just now finishing up new committee assignments. California just finished a study on academic performance which correlated the Academic Performance Index with drugs and health. The higher the scores, the lower the substance abuse. Prevention is way ahead of technology when it comes to evidence of effectiveness.

Elections: Denise Fitch, Vice Chair, announced that Susie Roberts (GOV, WA) was the only candidate nominated for Chair. Lawrence Piper (GOV, OR) was the only candidate nominated for Treasurer. The nominations process was opened and closed via e-mail so no nominations from the floor were allowed. Ballots were issued for the vote and counted. Lori O'Leary (GOV, TX) was the volunteer called upon from the floor to certify the vote. Susie and Lawrence were elected.

Data Committee Report/UMIRS: Susie Roberts reminded the group that, at the last meeting, there were “short-term” and “long-term” data committee meetings. The long-term committee is now referred to as the UMIRS subcommittee. Additional updates reported included:

- Craig Wacker from OMB visited with a few Network members at the June '02 meeting and he was very impressed with the idea of establishing a national system for proving effectiveness.
- An outline was developed as an idea to share with OMB, ED, and ONDCP. The next day Susie was told that they were very interested in this and to keep working on it. [Susie handed out the “Rationale for the Proposed UMIRS Project” draft of 10/18/02.]
- A five-year plan would seek funding, include a pilot phase in 5 to 6 states, and develop a Web-based data collection system at the building level.

Data Discussion: A suggestion was made that we aim for a common core of data embedded across surveys. Iowa is one of seven states to pilot a Web-based prevention data system. Comments were requested to be written on a flip-chart, as well as e-mailed later, to further develop this plan. A question was asked, “What are we trying to get funded here?” The answer was “A national data collection system for SDFSC that will aggregate the data across the states.”

Professional Development Committee Report: Jeff Barber (SEA, IN) would like to get the Needs Assessment out to the SEAs and Governor Reps soon instead of at the meeting. A survey will be put together concerning the CD and should be returned by February. The final CD will then be made. The committee is trying to determine what the following groups need: 1) People new to the SDFSC assignment, 2) Veterans experienced in administering the program, 3) Governor’s reps, and 4) SEAs. Regarding the orientation offered by the Network, it’s possible that we may need a morning session for new coordinators and an afternoon session for veterans. Because of a loss of some of the Governor’s reps (post elections) there is a need for more input from the Governors’ Offices.

Bylaw revisions: Ian handed out a draft of proposed bylaw changes to the group and requested they review them prior to the afternoon session.

Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial Luncheon, Compliments of the Channing-Bete Company

Ian delivered some opening comments and asked for a moment of silence to reflect on Dr. King’s contributions. Pat Aaby, Channing-Bete, read a poignant passage from the book “Words by Martin Luther King” by Coretta Scott King. Dr. Charles McLeod, Education Leadership Development, gave the prayer. He is a former Arizona school superintendent and a member of the National Alliance of Black School Educators.

Appreciation Awards: Ian, as outgoing Chair, expressed appreciation to CHEF, PRIDE Surveys, United Learning, and Channing-Bete for the support of the Network during his tenure. Each received a plaque.

Monday afternoon

Resource Development Report: Ian reported that partners who have donated to offset costs of the meetings has grown in the past three years, as follows: \$7,500 in 2000; \$10,100 in 2001; and \$18,500 in 2002. Ian wants to continue working on pulling in more funds for the Network now that he is leaving the office of the Chair.

Cathleen Olson reported that Larry Clark (CHEF) suggested we see if Robert Wood Johnson would fund projects, as they don’t fund operating costs.

PIPPAH Update: Cathleen Olson meets with a group called Partners in Program Planning for Adolescent Health. They are creating a Web page where you can type in characteristics of problems and resources will be matched to address them. There is a long list of partners working to promote this. She may have a tool to share with us at the Network’s next meeting in June.

Bylaws discussion/New Committee: An open floor discussion was held concerning the process for revising the bylaws. Feedback was taken regarding some of the proposed changes drafted by the Executive Committee. It was suggested that a vote not be taken today since members have not had

enough time to study them and other members that are not present haven't had an opportunity to review them. It was suggested that a bylaw committee be established to work on the draft. This idea was accepted. Questions and various points made, that the new committee may consider, included:

- Address finances: What do we pay for and what don't we pay for? (I.e., travel for the Executive Committee. Beyond that, who would be eligible?) How do we decide? It needs to be in the bylaws that the Executive Council can authorize travel.
- Elections: No nominations for officers were taken from the floor today. Does this set a precedent? Procedures should be tightened up and announced in advance.
- Executive director:
 - No term limits are given for an executive director (as currently drafted).
 - Why would one be appointed only by the Chair and Executive Committee?
 - As proposed, members have no say. An executive director should be approved by the membership.
 - The vice chair could assume some of the responsibilities of what an executive director could do.
 - What would be the purpose of an executive director?
 - Could a "chair elect" be explored to restructure some officers' terms?
- Membership: The reauthorization of the CACs could change how many exist so the current number of 15 will probably need to be revised.

Responses: The history on paying for Executive Committee travel, as the Network has evolved, stems from elected officers from SEAs and Governors' Offices leaving those agencies during their terms of office. The role of an Executive Director could be to off-load some of the work that's not feasible for the officers to manage. Cathleen Olson has volunteered to serve in this capacity so it became a short-term goal to authorize her to act in this capacity.

Suggestions: The Network should have a budget approved in advance to help make spending decisions. Executive Committee meetings could be announced ahead of time to assist with communication.

Committee members: Tracie Kalic, Chair, (SEA, KS); Cynthia Timmons, (Reg. VII CAC); Dixie Thompson, (GOV, HI), and Mike Alexander, (SEA, MO).

The bylaws will be voted on at the next meeting in June.

Member Development Committee: Karen Gukeisen (SEA, TX), Lori O'Leary (GOV, TX), and Meredith Rolfe (SEA, CA) volunteered to work on getting more people to attend the Network meetings.

Announcements

- Maryland, Lynn Widdowson: They are willing to share a video and lesson plan on ecstasy that was given to all 8th grade health teachers.
- Virginia, Arlene Cundiff: They produced a "Planning for Results" manual. Anne Atkinson is willing to help anyone adapt this manual to their own state for a nominal fee. Contact: Dr. Anne Atkinson, Policy Works, Ltd., (804) 323-6387, www.safeanddrugfreeva.org.
- Alabama, Diane Stuckey shared the packets they assembled for data collection and reporting.
- Wyoming, Jill Naylor-Yarger offered a handout on the collaboration grant mentioned earlier in the day.

Afternoon Break: The afternoon break was sponsored by the Network.

UMIRS – Discussion and Focus Group

Debbie Rudy of the U.S. Department of Education joined the meeting for the afternoon exercise.

Phil Gapinski (Reg. V CAC) and Denise Fitch (SEA, WA) organized a "carousel" exercise as a way of listing out state responses on chart paper to the following four questions:

1. What data do you have?

2. What are the data sources?
3. How are you planning to integrate your data into your USCO policy?
4. What questions would you like to have answered about UMIRS?

Phil provided copies of:

- 1) Performance Indicators for the US Department of Education's Strategic Plan Goal #3,
- 2) Definitions of incidence rate and prevalence,
- 3) A summary of all the references to needs assessments and performance indicators, as they appear in NCLB, and
- 4) A matrix that summarizes the indicators found in NCLB to the various sections of the law that requires the use or reporting of the indicators, i.e. LEA and SEA applications, use of funds, etc.

Small groups rotated to each chart to record responses. The charts were reviewed in the larger group. (See Appendix B for a written copy of the chart notes.)

It was mentioned that the Family Compliance Act raises concerns about consent (voluntary vs. required). These concerns will be addressed in the Rule-setting process.

Meeting recessed: Phil and Denise were thanked for organizing the exercise. The meeting recessed at 5:45 p.m.

Tuesday, Jan. 21, 2003 – Network Meeting, continued

Present per sign-in sheets: Thirty-nine individuals signed in, as follows: 17 from 16 SEAs, 5 from Governors' Offices, 2 from LEAs, 2 from 2 Comprehensive Assistance Centers, 1 from a state advisory board, and 12 representing 8 partners. (See Appendix A.)

7:30 a.m. Start: Continental Breakfast Sponsor/United Learning Presentation: Jim McColl demonstrated United Learning's video streaming product. Doug Caver, DREAM, presented information about the Smart Track data initiative in Mississippi.

National Prevention Leadership Conference: Joel Altschul distributed registration forms and previewed the plans for the conference, to be held July 23-25 in Evanston, Illinois.

Discussion with Staff of the U.S. Department of Education

Present from the U.S. Department of Education: Bill Modzeleski, Robert Alexander, Debbie Rudy, David Quinlan, Earl Myers, Lisa Clayton, Patricia Rattler, LaRaba Sligh, Christine Fletcher, Loretta McDaniel, Heather Carkuff.

Bill Modzeleski thanked Ian for his leadership to the "national advisory" (Network) efforts. He thanked Susie Roberts for her willingness to come on board as the new leader. He sees this as a partnership and that "we need each other to carry out NCLB Title IV." He is concerned about the 50% transferability provision and looks forward to working with us.

Updates from Bill Modzeleski: Following are highlights from Bill's presentation:

Reorganization: The transfer from the Office of Elementary and Secondary Education to the new Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools was completed Dec. 16, 2002. Judge Eric Andell is the Deputy Under Secretary and he reports directly to Secretary Paige. This office includes key components of civic education, correctional education, character education, health, mental health, environmental health, and physical fitness. Character Ed is a priority of the Administration so there probably will be money for it in the future. Correctional Ed relates to the justice system (education for incarcerated youth). Mental health will continue to be funded through SAMHSA for the Safe Schools/Healthy

Students initiative. Environmental health ranges from chemicals in and around sites, to mold, to architectural design.

Bill offered to bring some of the people who work in some of these areas to the next Network meeting.

Budget: The Senate version is better than the House mark. Budgets next year, as proposed by the President, will be tight.

Performance Indicators: "We must push how effective this program is. We must work together to demonstrate outcomes for state and local programs. Discussions are centering around what our overall goals are and if we're responsible for substance abuse and violence community-wide or just at school. We need to sharpen our focus."

National Advisory Group: A list of names and alternatives have been presented to the Secretary. He hopes to convene the group for the first quarter between now and March. There are lots of "hoops to jump through" so the timing on this could be off.

Gun-Free Report: Everyone was thanked for their efforts. They are under growing pressure by concerned citizens and the media to release data. They are noticing the decline in expulsions.

Unsafe School Choice Policy: The work is coming along. There is a concern that there will be no schools identified by most states. The national media is keeping their eye on this and will likely do investigations if zero schools end up getting identified for the country.

Drug-Testing: Bill introduced Heather Carkuff, Presidential Management Intern, who has been tasked to work on drug testing issues. An initiative is to begin FY03-04. They will set aside resources (funds from national programs) for LEAs to participate. They are working with NIDA to explore research and legal issues.

Bill's update was followed by a question and answer session (Q & A), or issue identification and response (I & R) session, as follows:

Q: Homeland Security Dollars: Any coming our way? Five to six billion was cut and not restored last year.

A: One of their priorities is to issue a model school crisis plan. Once released, they'd like to put some funds behind it to train on it. They are trying to get schools to understand that they must be prepared. If terrorism hits elsewhere, it still impacts the schools.

I: Safe Kids, Drug-Free Kids vs. Safe Schools, Drug-Free Schools

R: Our issue is, "What is our responsibility with this program? We need to be able to demonstrate that every dollar makes a difference."

Q: How well is collaboration working?

A: He thinks collaboration at the federal level is working well. (He was encouraged to exemplify and encourage those collaborations that make the link between dollars and outcomes.)

I: Research-based programs vs. Science-based programs.

R: There is research out there for many strategies. "Programs" are not enough. There has to be changes in culture, climate, and collaboration. What people want is the connection between the money and the impact of the money.

I: Unsafe School Choice Option Policy.

R: They will set regulations for the date that a state must have a definition. ED will look at the process and issues in formulating the policy, i.e. inclusion of LEAs in the process. July 1, 2003 is the deadline to identify schools and offer the option to parents. They will "regulate" broadly around everything else.

I: Pressure to move away from process only to outcomes (process plus).

R: We need to market. We need to sell.

During the discussion it was suggested that LEAs need to capture and articulate performance measurements in the LEA progress reports. The states should hold the LEAs accountable for the outcomes brought about as a result of the Principles of Effectiveness. If they have to set measurable goals and they have to evaluate, then the outcomes should be there locally.

Bill was thanked for his time.

Morning break: The refreshment break was sponsored by United Learning.

Continuation: Questions/Issues addressed by staff of the U.S. Department of Education

Debbie Rudy continued the conversation with the group:

I: PART, The Performance Assessment Result Tool / Role in Budget-setting.

R: Eighteen to twenty programs were reviewed by OMB, using this tool. The SDFSC program was not well rated. Three recommendations were made – 1. Core data, 2. Focus on change of process, i.e. Quality of Care model, 3. Some way of identifying how well grantees meet their performance indicators.

OMB is spending time on releasing the President's proposal by February 2nd. The '04 budget is wrapped up. They will begin the FY05 process in a couple of months.

Debbie did not know if the results of the PART review will be released. There are significant structural challenges with formula funds. It's hard to capture results because of such a diverse set of activities.

GEPR – OMB has said "Monitoring the Future" isn't good enough to attribute survey results back to SDFSC funding.

I: Westat.

R: They are analyzing the material sent in last year. They can't foresee handling NCLB matters without a contractor. The listserv will let us know when we can comment on the release of the 02-03 State Performance Report due out later this spring.

Q: Was the Widescope Questionable Quality Report on the Department's Website used to help judge the programs?

A: No.

Q: REAP– Is anyone monitoring it?

A: We know the importance of catching the outflow and inflow of funds.

Q: Community Service Grants – Money next year?

A: In looking at the mark-up for FY03, the Senate bill is yes, there is more money for national programs. In the House bill it's no.

Q: Community Service Grants – Community Service required or optional?

A: The grants are directed at those that require community service.

I: The chart in the State Consolidated Application marked Title IV as impacting only Goal Four of NCLB. How does making a chart like this work within the Department?

R: She thinks the Department did a good job with the cross-program team. She did not, however, see the chart before it was released. She acknowledged that sometimes things aren't circulated as broadly enough as they need to be.

Now alignment work is being done for GEPA performance and the Department's strategic plan.

Q: How is the liaisoning coming along between the new office and OESE?

A: They have not physically moved offices and expect to still be in the thick of it. For example, monitoring and audit resolution work still has to be cross-coordinated.

Q: When can we expect the final guidance?

A: Unknown.

Q: When can we expect guidance on UMIRS? What happens if a state can't comply fully for another two years?

A: We need to monitor how people addressed this in the Consolidated State Plan and explore more ways to handle it. Data will probably be tied to the Performance Report.

I: State On-site Visits/Monitoring schedule.

R: We'd like to visit 10 to 12 states this year, through December. Procedures are still being worked out before identifying which states will be scheduled.

Q: Will there be a national TA conference again?

R: Information should be coming out soon. They have given up on doing anything between now and September. Their discretionary grant workload is too great during the time period to prepare for the conference. Proposed dates are now Oct. 27–29, 2003.

Debbie was thanked again for spending time with us the previous afternoon and for her time this morning.

Keynote Lunch (John Walters, ONDCP) – Sponsored by PRIDE Surveys

Awards to outgoing officers: Ian Neuhard and Penny Deavers were presented with appreciation awards for their service to the Network as Chair and Treasurer, respectively.

Keynote Speaker: Thomas "Buddy" Gleaton, PRIDE Surveys, introduced John Walters, the Director of the Office of National Drug Control Policy. Mr. Walters offered congratulatory comments to Buddy regarding how the PRIDE survey data is demonstrating that drug use is decreasing. Highlights of other remarks include:

- It is difficult to see that partnerships work, across all levels, and that the programs work.
- One of the biggest problems in this area is the follow-through – sustainability –and keeping resources in place.
- They have tried to reenergize drug prevention across the country and are trying to give greater attention to it.
- They will continue to fight initiatives in States to legalize drugs.
- \$1.6 billion was pledged for treatment in the President's budget when, at that time, the country had a \$200 billion surplus; now we have a \$200 billion deficit.
- The disease of addiction is spread by those unaddicted. We have to get to the people spreading the problem.
- Cynicism about the institutions charged with the PITA continuum (prevention, intervention, treatment, and aftercare) has to be resisted by building confidence in those institutions by showing results. That's why he likes to go to schools that show what works.
- We must talk about what works, what we need, and how we support efforts.
- We are combating aggressive student movements that are pro-drug. Many students are in the weaker segment and they don't feel supported.
- We're challenged to continue funding for SDFSC due to the need to prove more results and effectiveness. He does understand that there are things going on with the program that do work. In a competitive environment, we need to be able to prove this program saves lives.

[Note: Janelle Krueger, Secretary, left the meeting at the start of the afternoon session. Notes for Tuesday afternoon were then taken by Jill Naylor-Yarger (SEA, WY) and provided to Janelle for incorporation into the Minutes.]

Legislative Updates and Education Sessions

Advocacy Initiatives by PRIDE Surveys, Thomas Gleaton:

- PRIDE outlined the importance of Safe and Drug Free Schools programs in a letter they sent to Congress. Information on the PRIDE surveys' representative national data was included in the letter.
- The PRIDE CD was distributed to all members for their use in promoting the importance of Safe and Drug Free Schools.
- PRIDE developed a teacher / staff survey as well as a parent survey. The parent survey allows comparison with the youth survey.
- Staff and faculty survey identifies what is needed in terms of training and policy, and helps gather information on what staff knows and does not know.

Afternoon break: Refreshments were provided courtesy of CHEF.

LEGISLATIVE Presentation, Julie Peterson and Sue Thau: Julie Petersen and Sue Thau outlined what information should be presented to Congressional Assistants, Senators, and Legislators regarding the Safe and Drug-Free School programs. Suggestions included:

- a. Tie actual programs to results.
- b. Make local connections to those in Congress, i.e. "We are glad you support (name of program) in your district/state."
- c. Inform what is happening locally.
- d. Tell how your state compares nationally.
- e. Make a case for what is making a difference.
- f. Explain who you are and what you do.
- g. Remember that this is information sharing, not lobbying.

Problem areas include:

- The State grant portion of SDFSC is slated for reduction.
- Many variables in SDFSC process make it difficult to identify if something is happening because of SDFSC or because of something else.
- Information that we are sending in on our states is not getting out to the people who need to hear it.

Other recommendations included:

- Need to bring partners to the table and identify how we are doing this.
- Give partners credit in SDFSC.
- Need to fund what works.
- Develop understanding that we are held to a higher standard with SDFS than some other programs in terms of evaluation.
- SDFS needs to develop relationships with Congressional Staffers.

Suggestions for future meetings

Susie Roberts asked for ideas to consider as she prepares to chair future meetings. Topics, from notes submitted by Susie for incorporation into the Minutes, included:

- Department of Education/Bill M. Q&A/Ed Staff
- By-Laws
- Finances
- Get every state at the meeting--SEA & Gov, connections between
- Maybe have breakouts for SEA and Govs
- Meeting location - can it vary?
- Keep the message positive
- Do we have a plan?
- Taking a position as a Network

- Judge Andell at the summer meeting
- Do something fun (self selected); i.e., local junkets
- Meeting rules

The meeting recessed for the day.

Wednesday a.m., Jan. 22, 2003 – Network Meeting, continued

Participating per the sign-in sheets: Five representatives from four states, two Governor's representatives from 2 states, 1 LEA, 2 from Comprehensive Assistance Centers, 1 CAPT, and 4 partners.

Options for professional networking opportunities included:

- Committee planning meetings
- Visits to the offices of other professional associations
- Tour of Capitol Hill for legislative visits

The NNSDFSC meeting concluded at noon.

Respectfully submitted by,

Janelle Krueger (SEA, CO)

Secretary of the NNSDFSC

Additional Notes:

Record of those who signed up on chart paper for committee membership:

Legislative:

Cathleen Olson
Susie Roberts
Tracie Brine-Kalic
Julie Peterson
Pam Deichman

UMIRS:

Cathleen Olson
Susie Roberts
Denise Fitch
Julie Peterson
Phil Gapinski

Appendix A – NNSDFSC Attendance, Jan. 18-23, 2003

State Education Agencies and Governor's Representatives

State	Office Adm. For Funds	Name	Initials	Sunday, AM Orient'n, Jan. 19	Sunday PM, Jan. 19	Monday, Jan. 20	Tues. Jan. 21	Wed., Jan. 22
AL	SEA	Diane Stuckey	Yes	x	x	x	x	
AZ	SEA	Kathy Rice	Yes	x	x	x	x	
CA	SEA	Meredith Rolfe	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
CO	SEA	Janelle Krueger	Yes	x	x	x	x	
DE	GOV	Gloria Noel	Yes	x	x	x		
FL	SEA	Lorraine Allen	Yes					
FL	SEA	Penny Detscher	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
HI	GOV	Dixie Thompson	Yes	x	x	x	x	
ID	SEA	Claudia Hasselquist	Yes					
IN	GOV	David Bozell	Yes	x	x	x	x	
IN	SEA	Jeff Barber	Yes	x	x	x	x	
IA	GOV	Pam Deichmann	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
IA	SEA	Linda Miller	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
KS	SEA	Tracie Kalic	Yes	x	x	x		
MD	SEA	Lynn Widdowson	Yes	x	x	x	x	
MO	SEA	Michael Alexander	Yes	x	x	x	x	
MT	SEA	Cathy Kendall	Yes	x	x	x	x	
ND	SEA	Pat Anderson	Yes	x	x	x	x	
OR	GOV	Lawrence Piper	Yes	x	x	x	x	
TX	GOV	Louri O'Leary	Yes	x	x	x		
TX	SEA	Karyn Gukeisen	Yes	x	x	x	x	
UT	SEA	Verne Larsen	Yes	x	x	x	x	
VA	SEA	Arlene Cundiff	Yes	x	x	x	x	
WA	GOV	Susan Roberts	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
WA	SEA	Denise Fitch	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
WA	SEA	Mona Johnson	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
WV	GOV	Helena Lee	Yes	x	x	x		
WV	SEA	Mary Jane Kerwood	Yes	x	x	x		
WY	SEA	Jill Naylor-Yarger	Yes	x		x	x	

Comprehensive Assistance Centers and Centers for the Application of Prevention Technology

Regional CC	Name	Initials	Sun., AM Orien'n, Jan. 19	Sunday PM, Jan. 19	Monday, Jan. 20	Tues., Jan. 21	Wed., Jan. 22
Region V	Philip Gapinski	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
Region VII	Cynthia Timmons	Yes	x	x	x	x	x
	Kathy Robertson	Yes	x	x	x		
Central CAPT	JoAnn Evetts	Yes	x	x		x	x

-Continued -

Local Education Agencies and State Advisors

Name	Initials	Representing ...	Sun., AM Orient'n, Jan. 19	Sun. PM, Jan. 19	Mon., Jan. 20	Tues., Jan. 21	Wed. Jan. 22
Kim Williams	Yes	LEA Florida State Advisory Council	x	x	x	x	
Cathleen Olson	Yes	LEA Duluth, Minnesota	x	x	x	x	x
Cindy Dickerson	Yes	UNF (Florida)	x				
Kathryn Burgess	Yes	Charleston, WV					
Denise Robertson	Yes	ESD 101, WA	x	x	x	x	
Gelane Nelson	Yes	Dekalb Co. (Alabama) Board of Education					
Donna Taheri	Yes	Dekalb Co. (Alabama) Board of Education					

Partners

Name	Initials	Representing ...	Sunday, AM Orien'n, Jan. 19	Sun. PM, Jan. 19	Mon., Jan. 20	Tues. AM, Jan. 21	Wed. Jan. 22
Nora Howley		CCSSO				x	
Mark Emery		CCSSO				x	
Julie Peterson	Yes	CHEF		x			
Larry Clark	Yes	CHEF			x		
Sue Thau		CADCA					
Meena Vaginer		CADCA					
Joel Altschul	Yes	United Learning		x	x	x	x
Jim McColl	Yes	United Learning		x	x	x	
Patrick Aaby	Yes	Channing Bete Co.	x	x	x	x	
Thomas Gleaton		PRIDE Surveys	x	x	x	x	x
Janie Pitcock		PRIDE Surveys	x	x	x	x	
Mark Pitcock		PRIDE Surveys	x	x	x	x	
Penny Deavers	Yes	Private Consultant	x	x	x	x	x
Ian Neuhard	Yes	Prime Time Palm Beach Co.	x	x	x	x	
George Watkins	Yes	Performance Resource Press	x	x	x	x	x
Charles McLeod	Yes	Ed. Leadership Development			x	x	