

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

### Data:

- [Definitions of incidence incidents, prevalence-examples](#)
- 14, 15, 16-obscure-is collected by many, needs clear definition, may be collected else where

### Sources:

- Less data on violence than S.A.
- Definitions
- Surveys
- Not much about arrest rates
- 13-14 Blank
- Truancy Rates-Definitions

### Unsafe Schools:

- Suspension/expulsion data
- Violence criminal acts
- R/P data
- Gun-free data

### UMIR's:

1. Data systems-develop new or use existing?
2. Perceived data element.
3. Data collection grants-is there a model we can use?
4. Sixteen grants-what was learned? Florida, Utah-Web based
5. Drop-dead implementation date?
6. Recommended waiting one year until December 2004.
7. [Reporting regulation timelines \(USDE\)](#)
8. [Active/passive consent issues](#)
  - Quality of data
  - PPRA-Title IX or X

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

How are you Planning to Integrate you State’s Unsafe School Choice Option into UMIR’s?

25% of student body

3 years in row

Year 1-Assistance

Year 2-Action P1/TA

Action plan

Year 3-B

Suspension/expulsion data–MD (10 days or more)

Arson/Fire–MD (10 days or more)

Bomb threat–MD (10 days or more)

Explosives–MD (10 days or more)

Physical attach–Students/Teachers/Staff

Drugs attach–Students/Teachers/Staff

Sexual harassment–Students/Teachers/Staff

WA-Crime linkages

AL-Expulsion data-SIR and criminal defined offenses

AZ-Graduated-Definition-Year 1: Current data and school polices and program in place

Year 2: Adding criminal code data and risk and protective factor data from schools

MT & ND-Suspension/expulsion data

IA-Forcible felonies, assault (state criminal code)

Individual who exercise USCO under provisions of victims of violent crime

FL-Expulsion and SESIR data (proposed)

Gun-free schools report data (proposed)

TX-Suspension/expulsion data

CO-SAR data; firearms expulsions (both)

CA-Expulsions

KS- Suspension/expulsion data and gun-free

VA-Persistently dangerous definition in will be driven by the collection of crime and violence data process already in place.

ID & UT-Will fill in gaps in existing system

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

What Questions Would You like Answered Related UMIR's?

- MT-If a state is already gathering the data elements through different means must it be gathered in one data base, or do we just have to be able to report on outcomes? ✓✓✓
- UT-What are perceived data elements? The data collection grants funded by DOE disseminated? ✓✓✓
- ID-Drop dead implementation date? ✓✓✓✓
- UA-What's the purpose? What will be done with this data? How does this relate to Homeland Security? Is there a model available? ✓✓✓✓✓
- Will there be funding to develop or continue beyond the 1%? ✓✓✓
- When will we know the reporting requirements to DOE? ✓✓✓✓
- How will USDE report data back to the SEAs/Stats? ✓✓
- Define "uniform" state? Or US? ✓
- What about small schools? And their inability to collect data? (student confidentiality) ✓✓
- Are there any other states looking at assets/protective factors in their "Persistently Dangerous" definition/criteria? ✓
- What are states doing with their definition and data collection on truancy? ✓

FL-We need survey effort to gather required data on prevalence, incidence, age of onset etc...but NCLB/PPRA requiring either actual parental consent if using Ed funds on parent notification LEA policy in place for survey administration using other funds-Why make it so difficult to gather required data? This may affect states' data gathering efforts in this area. Is Gov's portion one report and SEA 1 report or all together?

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

What are your Data Sources?

(Name Survey)

1. **Truancy rates**  
LEA-State-defined AL  
✓ Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA, WA  
“Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD
2. **Frequency, seriousness of violence related offences resulting in suspension**  
SIR-AL, SEA developed tool site-level data/site level/district/state  
=KS-CO=SAR  
TX=PEIMSFI-SESIR  
QPA=KS  
WY=by hand account  
Annual report-UT, ID, MT, VA  
“Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD
3. **Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in suspensions**  
SIR-AL AZ see ↑ Ditto 2 FL  
Site level  
Annual report- UT, ID, MT, VA  
“Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD
4. **Frequency, seriousness of violence related offenses resulting in expulsions**  
SIR-AL AZ see ↑ Ditto 2 FL  
Site level  
CA-by hand  
Annual report- UT, ID, MT, VA  
“Suspension, expulsion, and health related exclusions”-MD
5. **Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in expulsions**  
AZ see ↑ Ditto 2 FL  
CA-by hand  
Annual report- UT, ID, MT, VA
6. **Types of curricula, programs, and services**  
State level report (APR)(IA), ND, AL, AZ,  
State level application-AZ, IA, ND, AL  
WA ✓ Process report-VA, MT  
MD ✓ SDFS application-ID, UT  
KS, CA  
TX-CPRS  
CO-LPR  
WY-LPR
7. **Incidence of (illegal) drug use (in school and communities)**  
Incidences Iowa Youth Survey, AZ, YRBS, ND, YRBS  
SESIR=FL AL=YRBS  
CTC=FL WY=YRBS  
CA=CHKS TX=TSS  
PNA-MT  
YRBS-ID, MT, UT  
Yearly Report-VA

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

8. **Prevalence of (illegal) drug use**
  - IA-IYS
  - CTC-FL, KS
  - TSS-TX
  - CHKS-CA
  - PNA-UT, MT
  - YRBS/PNA-WY, MT, ID
  - Year End Report-VA
  - Healthy Youth Survey-WA
  - Adolescent Survey-MD
9. **Age of onset of drug use**
  - Ditto 8-All blue
  - All red except VA
  - Adolescent Survey-MD
  - Healthy Youth Survey-WA
10. **Perception of health risk of drug use**
  - Ditto 8-All blue
  - FT-T.S.
  - CTC
  - YRBS
  - PNA-WY
  - IYS
  - AYRBS
  - AYS
  - IYS
  - All red except VA on 8
  - Adolescent Survey-MD
  - Healthy Youth Survey-WA
11. **Perception of disapproval of drug use**
  - Ditto 8-All blue
  - IYS
  - Ditto for 9-10
  - Adolescent Survey-MD
  - Healthy Youth Survey-WA
12. **Incidence of violence**
  - SDFSC Year End Report-WA
  - Suspension/Expulsion report-MD, AL
13. **Prevalence of violence**
14. **Age of onset of violence**
15. **Perception of health risk of violence**
  - IYS, YRBS
16. **Perception of disapproval of violence**
  - IYS, YRBS
17. **Prevalence of risk factors (e.g., child abuse cases and domestic violence)**
  - PNA-UT, MT
  - Survey Kids Count-WA, MD
  - IYS, YRBS

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

18. **Prevalence of protective factors, buffers, or assets**

Same as #17

School Survey-WA, MD

IYS, YRBS

19. **Conditions and consequences regarding violence and illegal drug use**

UT, VA, MT, School Survey-WA, MD

20. **Delinquency**

? Need definition

21. **Serious discipline problems**

? Need definition

MD possibly

## Appendix B – Data Exercise Charts, NNSDFSC, Jan. 18-23, 2003

What Data do you have?

SDFS or State or SEA or other Agency?

1. **Truancy rates**  
VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA
2. **Frequency, seriousness of violence related offences resulting in suspension**  
FS, KS, TX, CO, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL
3. **Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in suspensions**  
FS, DS, FL, TX, CO, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL
4. **Frequency, seriousness of violence related offenses resulting in expulsions**  
KS, CO, WY, TX, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, AZ
5. **Frequency, seriousness of drug-related offences resulting in expulsions**  
CO, TX, FL, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, HI
6. **Types of curricula, programs, and services**  
CA, CO, KS, FL, TX, WY, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, AZ, IA, HI
7. **Incidence of (illegal) drug use (in school and communities)**  
FL, CA, WY, TX, KS, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, HI
8. **Prevalence of (illegal) drug use**  
FL, CA, TX, WY, KS, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, AL, HI
9. **Age of onset of drug use**  
CA, FL, TX, KS, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI
10. **Perception of health risk of drug use**  
CA, FL, KS, WY, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI, IA
11. **Perception of disapproval of drug use**  
CA, WY, KS, FL, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI, IA
12. **Incidence of violence**  
? FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, AL, HI
13. **Prevalence of violence**  
CA, KS, WY, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA
14. **Age of onset of violence**  
CA
15. **Perception of health risk of violence**  
CA, KS, FL, WY, HI, IA
16. **Perception of disapproval of violence**  
CA, WY, KS, IA
17. **Prevalence of risk factors (e.g., child abuse cases and domestic violence)**  
KS, CA, WY, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI
18. **Prevalence of protective factors, buffers, or assets**  
KS, CA, WY, FL, VA, UT, MT, ID, MD, WA, HI
19. **Conditions and consequences regarding violence and illegal drug use**  
VA, UT, MT, MD, WA, ?
20. **Delinquency**  
VA, UT, MT, ID, Need Definition, HI, IA, WY, FL
21. **Serious discipline problems**  
Need definition for serious discipline? MD?, AL, CO, TX?